

https://www.jollyheretic.com/p/coked-up-bully-zelensky-finally-meets?publication_id=1077977&post_id=158353539&isFreemail=false&r=16fp5&triedRedirect=true

Coked-Up Bully Zelensky Finally Meets His Match

March 4, 2025

TRANSCRIPT:

Hello, hello, hello and welcome to this edition of the Jolly Heretic. Now, today I would like to talk about what happened last week.

It's now the 3rd of March, 2025. I want to talk about what happened last week, which was possibly the most fascinating piece of political theatre that has ever been broadcast. Or certainly that has been broadcast since the time that Boris Yeltsin humiliated Gorbachev on live TV and thus made it clear who was actually in charge of the dying Soviet Union.

And that is the gangster-like reaction of J.D. Vance and Donald Trump to Vladimir Zelensky, the president of Ukraine's behavior in their meeting at the Oval Office, in which they were prepared to do in public what we know goes on behind the scenes. But the thing is they did; we know that all governments are basically genteel protection rackets.

They're protection rackets. That's what they are. That's what a government is. It's a protection racket. You pay money into it, they offer you, or they're supposed to offer you protection. Protection of your assets, protection of your safety. They're a protection racket. Governments are. But the idea is that the more cut-and-thrust aspect of being a protection racket is meant to take place without actual killing, and is meant to take place behind the scenes, and a genteel front is presented to the world.

Both Zelensky and Trump-Vance basically broke that rule, and the left are going mad and saying, oh my god, they bullied him, they humiliated him, all of this sort of thing. No, he humiliated them, or tried to humiliate them, and then he got as good as he gave, and indeed better. They say, oh, he's bullied, they're bullying him, no.

He engaged in a kind of passive-aggressive bullying with the result that he provoked, and he's not used to being stood up to because he's been told he's wonderful for the last three years and they gave as good as they got. And that's what I want to talk about today.

Okay, back to the video. So, business has gone on here. What we are seeing is the difference between two different kinds of bullying. One is the feminine kind of bullying. Or should we call it bullying? I don't know. Let's just call it aggressive behavior. The feminine kind of aggressive behavior.

Passive-aggressive behavior, and the other is the more masculine and overt kind that's in your face. And of course people that get into positions of power are going to behave in that kind of way, because what predicts getting into a position of power is high intelligence combined with moderately psychopathic traits.

Moderately dark triad traits. Machiavellianism, which means you want power. Psychopathy, which also means you want power, and that you're selfish, and that you're driven and that sort of thing, and you don't play by the rules, and that helps you to get power. And narcissism,

which is that you basically, to a degree, like power and control, and you like admiration and people admiring you. These are the traits, dark triad traits, which predict, when you control for intelligence, getting into positions of power, particularly high positions of power. So, we should in no way be surprised that people like Zelensky, Trump and Vance would have those dimensions to their character.

That's what gives you the power and to get to places and to get to high places and to do things. Now, once you do that, what you see, I think there's absolutely no question that Zelensky started this. This is a situation in which, for whatever reason, Russia invaded; it's not really relevant, invaded parts of Ukraine.

This guy was the president of Ukraine at the time. This guy, by the way, is a comedian and actor, so obviously high in narcissistic traits, as those people tend to be, because you've got to be able to cope with knockbacks all the time, and you've got to have a great deal of confidence. He's the president, and so he's therefore this military president, and he's pursuing this war.

And by the way, he's doing so using all kinds of underhanded, awful tactics, such as just forcing people that don't want to do it into the military. Literally press-ganging people into the military. But that's an aside. So that's the kind of regime he is operating. He has been told by the Western world, including America up until now, for the last three years, that he's fantastic, that he's wonderful, that he's the new saviour, that he's the leader of the West, and all of this kind of nonsense sort of post-Covid, they have to find something to latch onto and virtue signal about.

And so the thing they virtue signal about is Ukraine and him. I will look in a minute at the nature of Ukraine and why they probably shouldn't do that. But anyway, that's what they've done. They have to get their sense of identity and their virtue-signalling object from somewhere. And so the new one is Ukraine and of course we're in favor of goodness. We're in favor of that which is right.

We're morally superior to other people. We competitively indicate our moral superiority. We have Ukraine flags in our windows. We have Ukraine flags flying in our gardens. Vladimir Zelensky is wonderful. I suspect this has gone to his head, by the way. This is known as Hubris Syndrome, where if you're in power for a long time and you're continually told that you're wonderful, then you start to believe it.

You start to believe you're wonderful, you start to believe you're invincible, and then you start to make mistakes. Like Mrs. Thatcher, like Tony Blair, like all kinds of people that are in power, and even in democracies, for a very long time, it starts to go to their head. They start to believe their own nonsense, they're surrounded by sycophants, and it starts to go to their head, and they think they can get away with anything.

And what this little coked-up narcissist was shown, is that he can't. He can't. And that America is an elephant, and Ukraine is a flea. That's what he was shown. And the reason he was shown that was because of his own hubristic, conceited, narcissistic behavior, in which, having negotiated with these two people some kind of peace, and everybody knows that this is where political answer and political skill comes in, and Trump highlighted this.

You can't go around saying to Vladimir Putin that whatever is the truth, that he's a thug and a bully and a terrorist, and then expect to be able to negotiate peace with him. But almost unbelievably, Zelensky negotiated this peace and this deal behind the scenes, where they took a lot of the mineral wealth of Ukraine.

And don't say that's unique, by the way, because when Churchill negotiated to get American help in World War II, that was in return for America being able to strip Britain of basically everything. Of America being able to impoverish Britain. Take everything. Essentially destroy its empire. And destroy it as a country.

That was the satanic negotiation. That was what we ended up with America. To lose everything. This is nowhere near as bad as that. But this is what is negotiated. And having negotiated it, and presenting it to the world, Vance makes the extremely good point about the importance of diplomacy.

And this little man, rather than just shut up, does this passive-aggressive, sort of vulnerable narcissist type thing, where he makes this little remark, makes his little opinion. Oh, what kind of diplomacy is this? This guy marched in, he doesn't keep to agreements. He doesn't do what he's saying he was going to do.

It was very clever and very subtle. And then he made out, he tried to humiliate Vance and say, oh, well, you know, you don't know about Ukraine. You don't know what it's like in Ukraine. You don't know what it's like on the ground. He suddenly tried to change things and to place them in a situation, on live television and in front of everybody in front of the media where he basically tried to manipulate them into stating in public something like we will give you military guarantees against any agreement with Russia in Ukraine. That is what he tried to do.

Having negotiated something completely different, i.e. there will be Americans taking Ukrainian minerals, there will therefore be large numbers of Americans in Ukraine, which therefore means that Putin is most unlikely to attack because there will be large numbers of Americans in Ukraine, he tried to push it a little bit further and manipulate them and corner them.

Into having to say, into him being empowered over them, and them having to shamefully either say, we won't give you military guarantees, they're therefore bad people, or having to kind of make some promise effectively on air, live. It's what he tried to do. Corner them into making a promise,

which they hadn't negotiated, of military protection. And he underestimated, he overestimated his own importance, he overestimated his own significance, and he underestimated the kind of people he was dealing with. Because if those people had been everyday Republican politicians, then they would have come up with some clever diplomatic remark, which would have maybe forced them to do something a little bit extra like he wanted, but not quite as extreme as putting lots of troops on the ground in Ukraine.

But no, these people aren't like that. They weren't having this. And they said in a kind of gangster way, they just did in public what they would have done behind the scenes. And put him in his place in this piece of political theatre. And said how, they used this nonsense about respect, I don't know why they had to say that, it's very American to talk about respect and integrity and all that sort of thing.

But essentially they were saying, how dare you. Who the hell do you think you are trying to go back on what we've just negotiated, what we have just negotiated, and try to humiliate us and manipulate us in front of the whole world into doing what you want, you little rat man? Who do you think you are? And then it was fascinating the way that Trump then intervened to defend his vice president and then off he went and told him exactly what he thought and what he thought all along.

Which is that this is a diplomatic issue. You can't go around slagging off Putin and calling him a terrorist or whatever. You can't do this. This is not how international diplomacy works. You are manipulating. You're going too far. You're basically engaging in a kind of bullying. And the response was to bully back.

And bullies don't expect, bullies don't expect to meet their match. Bullies like Zelensky don't expect to meet someone that will stand up to them and say no. And they did, and that was that. And this has plumbed, and then the left have reacted with just total discombobulation. How can this happen? How can they do this in public?

How can they basically rip off the mask of politics and show, almost like Ugandan politics or Zimbabwean politics, this is protection rackets. This is the boss of one protection racket and the daddy of one protection racket, the godfather of one protection racket and the godfather of another protection racket and they're up against each other and the godfather of the dominant protection racket has humiliated the godfather of the other protection racket but that is only because the leader of the smaller protection racket tried to go too far.

Did not show enough respect. Did not respect him. And so a reaction took place. And they can't believe it, because what they expected was for their man, Zelensky, to walk away smiling, having manipulated them. And they projected all their feelings onto him, and he embodies them. And no, he's been slapped down.

And quite right, too. And so I think that this is, so their reaction is just absolute disbelief. I believe the young use the word flexing. It's showing even more. And there's nothing they can do about it. That the right, the conservatives, are in power in America, are proud to be in power in America, will flex and use their power in America, and will react with vengeance when these nasty little leftists try to manipulate them.

And this is frightening. They've just got over what they see as the world-shattering event of Trump actually winning the election. They're witnessing a right-wing backlash, and they don't know what to do. It's as though they're in shock. They cannot understand why this is happening. In my Dutton's Digest recently, I did a thing on this.

This is like we on the right have been in a narcissistically abusive relationship with a crazy woman who cuts herself with borderline personality disorder. She's finally pushed us too far. We've reacted with what's called codependent rage, where finally the codependent, the person in the relationship, who's been inculcated and manipulated and love-bombed into being in the relationship, so they can't imagine getting out of it, finally gets out of it.

And when that happens, the narcissist, the BPD girl, has no one worshipping her anymore. Has no one doing what she says. Has no one serving her anymore. And just doesn't know what to do. And is just in shock. And just is frozen like a rabbit in the headlights. It just doesn't know what to do. And this is what we're seeing with these people.

And so some of them are kind of saying, oh yeah, I'm anti-woke after all. They're trying to manipulate us, to get back with us, to get back in our lives by saying, oh actually I agree with you, I am anti-woke really. Oh, I knew it all along. All this, people like Ash Sarkar. And some of them are just reacting by saying, oh my God, well then we have to come up with a new, a whole new way of seeing the world where apparently Europe, the European Union, can stand up to Russia.

With Russia's baseness and its belligerence and we can apparently stand up to them and we can make Zelensky the leader of the free world or Hostama, the anti-free speech tyrant, who was kind of semi-humiliated by the very fact that they, in his meeting with him, that he raised, they raised with him the anti-free speech nature of Europe by which they meant him, and he was just ashamed and humiliated and didn't know what to do.

Subtly, but nevertheless they did. They're making it clear that they're in charge, and they're going to alter things. And the borderline personality, vulnerable narcissist woman has now been removed from their lives, and they don't care about her, and they don't care about her morality, and they don't care about her moral judgment, and they take the view that, no, morality is not about equality and harm avoidance.

Morality is, at least in part, fighting for the glory of your group, and fighting for power. And holding on to sacred traditions, that's morality. And they've divorced themselves, and they don't care. And increasingly people are divorcing themselves from their morality, and they don't care.

With the consequence that the narcissists to whom they were attached, the Ash Sarkars, whatever that ridiculous Canadian comedian with all the plastic surgery, whatever her name is, Ryan or something, and such like people do not quite know what to do. And so they think to themselves, well, they, of course, they want power, and they want love.

And they want to be, they want to be admired. So maybe they think to themselves, maybe they should start doing what the person that used to be attached to them is now doing. And that will get them back, and that will get them some worship again, and we should not accept that. We should never, ever forgive.

I don't say, oh, they're on a journey. They're on a journey to baseness and we should try and help them. No, they're, but that may be true of some people that were never dogmatically woke, that were never at the vanguard of woke. But it cannot be true. The people that were the vanguard of woke. Those people who are at the vanguard of woke are the people who will flip over, they want power, they will be communists in East Germany, they will be Nazis in Nazi Germany.

Those kinds of people, those kinds of people are traitors, those kinds of people are Machiavellians, and they should never, ever be allowed to be forgiven because they will just manipulate their way into your lives again and dominate you and control you in a different and equally bad way. They'll be the church ladies that want to stop you from going out and having fun, just as they'll be the woke women that want to stop you from having a different kind of fun.

Never. But anyway, so I've got a bit worked up here, but I think what we've seen basically is the manifestation of two kinds of bullying. The girl type, the vulnerable narcissist type, the manipulative type, the passive-aggressive type, and finally, this person has been stood up to by people, by the right who have been manipulated by this vulnerable narcissist type for years.

I've now had enough, I've been pushed too far, I've had their boundaries crossed one too many times, and I'm now not having it, and I'm now deciding to just dump this girl. Reject this girl. Remove this girl from their lives. And I think that Zelensky represents that girl, and his shunning is, that is why his shunning is so symbolically important and so frightening to the left.

It's the shunning of them, and their manipulative tactics, and their power, and it's the leaving them out in the cold, with no one to fear them, and no one to worship them, and no one to be controlled by them. And they are in shock. It's just not something they could ever have expected.

The Right is Finally Breaking Free from its Psychotic, Crazy-Bitch Girlfriend Called Liberalism

[Edward Dutton](#)

Feb 28, 2025



Steve Bannon recently delivered a speech in which he not only made it clear that the Woke would be crushed, but he also quite deliberately gave a Hitler salute. This couldn't be put down to over-excited autism as it possibly could with Elon Musk, who started the trend. I suspect Bannon did it to send a clear message to the stunned Left: "We're not frightened of you anymore. We don't care what you think. We don't care how you feel. You are sick little mutants. We have stepped over you!" Giving such a salute, knowing the hysteria it would usually provoke in leftists, is the ultimate way of testing the waters. If you can get away with it, the Woke are weak, and they clearly are weak, because they are in a state of shock.

The Jolly Heretic is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Four days later, in the UK, former Home Secretary Suella Braverman admitted in the Telegraph that she is not and never can be English; for she does not have English ancestors, the English being an ethnicity, an extended genetic family. To state this biological fact just a year ago would have been career-endingly "racist" as would comedian Nick Dixon's joke in response: "Good piece from Suella Braverman on why she can never be English. I have always liked her, and will be sad when she is deported." The fact that some people are now seriously discussing the mass deportation of ethnic foreigners—a policy abandoned even by the British National Party in 1999 as too extreme and unpalatable—demonstrates just how far and how quickly everything has shifted and may well continue to shift as people competitively basedness-signal.

It is not just the blue-haired self-cutters who are stupefied by the dramatic change in mood, and right-wing confidence, since the re-election of Donald Trump; it is many conservative people, myself included. I know that I argued in my book *The Past is a Future Country* that due to the asymmetrical empathy between liberals and conservatives, culture always shifts to the left (towards equality and harm avoidance) until this creates so much

chaos and resentment that there is a right-wing backlash. However, I was a tiny child the last time this actually happened, in the early 1980s. I can, of course, recall the horrific left-wing backlash that crystallised in 1997—New Labour, Princess Diana-induced emotional incontinence, anti-free speech laws, ex-Communists in the cabinet—but I’ve never really experienced a right-wing backlash. I, and many others, are left adrift, trying to make sense of what’s happened and why. I know the research behind what’s going on—tipping points, social psychology—but I require a satisfying simile, and, as a consequence of messaging my collaborator, the Narcissism coach Richard Grannon, I think I have come up with one.

For a long time now, we conservatives have been in a relationship with a young woman who is a Vulnerable Narcissist. She is extremely emotionally unstable, controlling, and manipulative; cutting herself to get attention, and having frightening tantrums in which she seems to go into a paranoid trance. She is the world’s biggest victim, the most misunderstood victim, and she constantly demands we do more and more for her, threatening us with rejection and abandonment if we don’t comply. You see, she deserves, in her twisted mind, to be looked after and worshipped. She has no respect for our “boundaries”; she makes us live for her, completely sacrificing everything that makes us happy, and she gaslights us into believing that we are mad or evil—“racists,” “Nazis”—simply for trying to fulfill our own desires for things like “truth” and “family.”

Why do we do this? Why do we always give in to her? We have a trauma bond with her; she has made us addicted to her. Long ago, she made us feel special. She told us that we were wonderful and moral people because we were “liberal”: we were anti-racist, tolerant of gays, sexually libertine, cleverer than those indoctrinated Christians and bigoted elderly people who won the War. Moreover, everything in life that was fun—stand-up comedy, movies, sit-coms—all of it espoused liberalism. Liberalism was status; liberalism made you feel good; it blessed you as moral, as clever, and as important.

On some level, however, you gradually began to understand that liberalism was, well, slightly mad. You would say one word wrong and, in a split second, her eyes would become like glass and she would “split”: flipping from loving you to despising you, and making it quite clear that you were evil and to be shunned, such that you felt worth nothing. But something in you was addicted to her.

And not only that, you felt that you, and you alone, could rescue this perpetual victim; was this a grandiose element in you? You were confident, over-confident, that eventually you—you, with your skills—could persuade her to be reasonable. You were optimistic that, surely, she loved someone like you, deep down, and, so, would eventually behave like an adult.

You were what is known as the “co-dependent” in the relationship with a Narcissist, and this Narcissist had taken full advantage of the traits which differentiate conservatives from liberals. Conservatives are high in Agreeableness (especially politeness) and high in Conscientiousness (impulse control and order); so they keep their emotions in, always try to be fair-minded, and they dislike conflict. They are also high in mental stability, so it takes a great deal to make them finally “lose it.” But the liberal Narcissist girlfriend just wouldn’t stop, and when it got to the point that she insisted you take part in her fantasy by stating that men can become women, indeed they can become biological women, even you, addicted as you were, realized that she was dangerously insane and she was not genuinely concerned about care and love at all.

This brought out of you a kind of delayed reaction to something you’d suppressed, out of disbelief, many years earlier: Lockdown, and her paranoid lies, and especially the BLM riots, where she’d unleashed the most blood-curdling tantrum upon you, smashing windows, threatening you with knives.

It all built up into what is called “Co-Dependent Rage.” This rage acted like a religious conversion, shaking sense into you at last. It caused you to “understand” at a visceral level: She does not love you, she is not caring, you will never rescue her, she will never change, she is a liar, and she has come perilously close to destroying your life.

And with that, you realized that morality is not about equality or harm avoidance, as she insisted and made you believe. These, in the absence of other values, are the values of manipulative, psychologically weak people who pretend to hold them in order to get power; the values of the self-cutters, like her. Morality, in part, is fighting for the glory of your people who will all be destroyed if you fail to do so, and those who don't concur are traitors and selfish manipulators and you don't need them; indeed, they are dangerous.

And with that, you shunned the Narcissist and this has left her stunned. She has nobody off whom to parasite, nobody is obeying her, nobody fears her, nobody is worshipping her . . . she is frozen in total incredulity . . . and you can't quite believe, having been imprisoned by her most of your life, that you've broken free of her witch's spell at last. But you have.

The problem is that breaking free isn't enough. As she remains in shock, anything that can allow her to regroup must be utterly destroyed, so that she can never manipulate us ever again. She'll tell us she has changed, that she has made mistakes, that she no longer believes men can become women, that she's truly in favor of free speech, that you are wonderful but some of your collaborators are going a bit too far: "Think of the starving children in Africa, what about those who have only ever known Britain and are now being told to . . ." No! If the backlash starts to go too far, that is for you to negotiate. She is a manipulator and liar, always . . . and as with the Lady of Shalott, she must live out the rest of her life alone in the tallest tower while Camelot revives itself around her.
